Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL POSS DUPE PAGE 01 STATE 229458 43/21 **ORIGIN EUR-12** INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-01 INR-05 L-01 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 AEC-05 IO-04 OIC-01 OMB-01 SAJ-01 SAM-01 TRSE-00 ACDA-05 EB-04 SCI-02 NIC-01 COME-00 /079 R 66610 DRAFTED BY: OASD/ISA:JTYLER:MEM APPROVED BY: EUR:JGLOWENSTEIN OSD:MG WICKHAM ASD/ISA:RELLSWORTH PM/ISP:LBROWN EUR/RPM:COL. THOMPSON/EJSTREATOR EUR/WE:EBEIGEL (INFO) EUR/SE:NLEDSKY C:NTERRELL S/S:WHLUERS ----- 049855 R 181535Z OCT 74 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO ANATO INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA USNMR SHAPE USCINCEUR USLOSACLANT CINCLANT USDEL MC CINCUSAREUR CINCUSANAVEUR CINCUSAFE CONFIDENTIAL STATE 229458 CORRECTEDCOPY (GARBLES THROUGHOUT) E.O. 11652:GDS CONFIDENTIAL CONFIDENTIAL PAGE 02 STATE 229458 TAGS: MPOL, NATO

SUBJECT: NATO MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE

REF: USNATO 5132 (NTTAL)

- 1. FOR PARIS: YOU ARE AUTHORIZED TO DRAW ON SUBSTANCE OF FOLLOWING LETTER FROM SECDEF TO NATO DPC MOD'S FOR USE IN DISCUSSIONS WITH SENIOR COUNTRY OFFICIALS AS APPROPRIATE.
- 2. FOR ATHENS: WE WOULD APPRECIATE EMBASSY VIEWS ON FOLLOW-ING ALTERNATIVES: A. DELIVER LETTER IN PRESENT FORM TO AVEROFF, B. SEND LETTER WITH COVERING NOTE FROM AMBASSADOR WHICH WOULD PUT LETTER IN GREEK PERSPECTIVE, C. PROVIDE ORAL BRIEFING TO DEFENSE MINISTRY ON LETTER'S SUBSTANCE, OR D. DO NOTHING.
- 3. YOR USNATO: YOU MAY MAKE TEXT AVAILABLE TO SYG LUNS FOR HIS INFORMATION. PLEASE POUCH COMPLETE TEXT OF US DISCUSSION PAPER ON MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE TO US DEL MBFR, VIENNA, IF NOT ALREADY FURNISHED.
- 4. FOR OTHER NATO CAPITALS: REQUEST EMBASSIES PASS THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE OF OCTOBER 17, 1974, FROM SECRETARY OF DEFENSE JAMES R SCHLESINGER TO MINISTER OF DEFENSE (REYKJAVIK TO PRIME MINISTER OR MINISTER OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS AS APPROPRIATE).

BEGIN TEXT: DEAR MR. MINISTER: THE UNITED STATES
HAS TABLED IN NATO A DRAFT TEXT DEALING
WITH KEY ELEMENTS OF MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE AND EMBODYING
A PROPOSED LONG-RANGE CONCEPT FOR NATO DEFENSE.
AMBASSADOR RUMSFELD HAS FURNISHED A COPY TO YOUR
PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVE IN BRUSSELS.

I WOULD LIKE TO SHARE WITH YOU THE REASONING WHICH LED TO OUR SUBMISSION.

WE SEE NATO'S SUCCESS IN ASSURING PEACE IN EUROPE SINCE WORLD WAR II AS BRINGING WITH IT A NEW GENERATION THAT KNOWS NO WAR AND QUESTIONS THE NEED FOR LARGE EXPENDITURES ON DEFENSE. WE FACE A PUBLIC THAT CHALLEHGES THE UTILITY AND EVEN THE NECESSITY OF NATO DEFENSE.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 229458

WE SEE THE WARSAW PACT AS STRENGTHENING ITS MILITARY POSITION FACING NATO, EVEN IN A PERIOD OF NEGOTIATIONS ON SALT, CSCE, AND MBFR. TO MAINTAIN A CREDIBLE DETERRENT IN THIS SITUATION, WE MUST PROVIDE FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN NATO FORCES, ALTHOUGH NOT NECESSARILY ON A ONE-FOR-ONE MATCHING BASIS.

WE ARE CLEARLY IN DIFFICULT ECONOMIC TIMES, WHEN WE CAN EXPECT NO MAJOR INCREASES IN DEFENSE FUNDING.

WE FACE THE CHALLENGE, THEREFORE, OF GOING BEFORE FINANCE MINISTERS AND OUR PEOPLE AND PARLIAMENTS WITH DEFENSE RESOURCE REQUESTS WHICH WILL PROVIDE AN ACCEPTABLE AND COHERENT DEFENSE AT A REASONABLE PRICE.

THESE DIFFICULTIES DID NOT ARISE OVERNIGHT AND ARE COMPLICATED BY RECENT TRENDS: THE SOVIETS HAVE STEARILY CONTINUED TO INCREASE THEIR MILITARY CAPABILITIES (E.G., SOVIET ATTAINMENT OF STRATEGIC NUCLEAR PARITY ANE THE EXPANDED DEPLOYMENT OF THE SOVIET FLEET); ALLIED COSTS FOR SUPPORTING MILITARY PERSONNEL AND PROCURING MODERN EQUIPMENT HAVE RISEN SHARPLY; AND PUBLIC EXPECTATIONS FOR IMPROVED EASTWEST RELATIONS HAVE BEEN HEIGHTENED BY CSCE, MBFR, AND SALT NEGOTIATIONS.

IN THE ATLANTIC DECLARATION RECENTLY SIGNED BY HEADS OF GOVERNMENTS, ALLIED NATIONS PLEDGED RENEWED COMMITMENT TO COLLECTIVE SECURITY.

WE BELIEVE THAT NATO MUST NOW AGREE ON A LONG-RANG DEFENSE CONCEPT, IN SUPPORT OF THE AGREED NATO STRATEGY, WHICH WILL PERMIT US TO MEET THESE MAJOR CONCERNS AND PERMIT US TO PUT TOGETHER A STALWART CONVENTIONAL CAPABILITY, AS A MAJOR PART OF THE NATO TRIAD, THAT WILL PROVIDE THE UNDERPINNING FOR THE POLITICAL STAMINA OF NATO NATIONS FOR THE LONG HAUL.

THE US DRAFT TABLED IN NATO ADDRESSES THESE CONCEPTS. IT ADDS A LONG-RANGE DEFENSE CONCEPT TO THE TRADITIONAL CONFIDENTIAL CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 229458

MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE. IT ALSO EXPANDS THE TRADITIONAL GUIDANCE TO COVER COUNTRY AND COMMON PROGRAM EFFORTS, AS WELL AS GUIDING THE NATO MILITARY AUTHORIES.

AGREEMENT TO SPECIFIC LANGUAGE IN THE US DRAFT IS NOT SO IMPORTANT AS THE SERIOUS EXAMINATION AND DISCUSSION OF THE KEY ELEMENTS. WE ARE PARTICULARLY ANXIOUS TO HAVE A THOROUGH DISCUSSION OF THE PROBLEMS BY MINISTERS IN DECEMBER, UNDER THE AGENDA ITEM OF KEY ELEMENTS OF MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE.

WE THINK THE KEY ELEMENTS OF A DEFENSE CONCEPT FOR NATO OVER THE LONG HAUL WOULD INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:

- RATIONALE FOR THE CONTINUED NEED FOR AN EFFECTIVE NATO DEFENSE;
- PROJECTION OF REQUIREMENTS FOR INCREASING RESOURCE

LEVELS, INVOLVING MARGINAL ANNUAL INCREASES IN REAL TERMS (THREE PERCENT);

- CONTINUED EMPHASIS ON CONVENTIONAL CAPABILITY WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF NATO'S CURRENT STRATEGY;
- ATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF A PERCEPTIBLE CONVENTIONAL BALANCE WITH THE WARSAW PACT WITHIN PROJECTED RESOURCE LEVELS:
- SEARCH FOR ADDITIONAL DEFENSE EFFECTIVENESS WITHIN ROUGHLY PRESENT RESOURCES THRUGH RATIONALIZATION, STANDARDIZATION, INCREASED COMMON SUPPORT PROGRAMS, AND OTHER FORMS OF COOPERATION, AND THROUGH RIGOROUS APPLICATION OF PRIORITIES IN FORCE IMPROVEMENTS;
- INCREASED FLEXIBILITY IN PLANNED USE OF NATO FORCES, BOTH THOSE FORWARD DEPLOYED AND REINFORCEMENTS.

CLEARLY, AN INDISPENSABLE PART OF THE CONCEPT WOULD BE A MORE COMPREHENSIVE MECHANISM FOR MONITORING ITS IMPLEMENTATION.

CONFIDENTIAL CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 229458

IN SUMMARY, WE HAVE TABLED IN NATO WHAT WE CONSIDER TO BE A MAJOR PROPOSAL FOR SUPPORTING AND DIRECTING THE NATO DEFENSE EFFORT IN THE LONG TERM, AND WE HOPE THAT THIS PROPOSAL WILL BE CONSIDERED SERIOUSLY IN NATO COMMITTEES THIS FALL.

I LOOK FORWARD TO A FULL EXCHANGE OF VIEWS AMONG MINISTERS IN DECEMBER IN BRUSSELS ON HOW NATT CAN BEST DEAL WITH ITS DEFENSE PROBLEMS OVER THE LONG HAUL.

SINCERELY,

JAMES R. SCHLESINGER

END TEXT.

KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: TEXT, POLICIES, ALLIANCE

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 18 OCT 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE229458

Document Number: 1974STATE229458
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: OASD/ISA:JTYLER:MEM

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D740298-1093

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741035/aaaabdgx.tel Line Count: 215

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ORIGIN EUR Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: USNATO 5132 (NTTAL) Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 26 MAR 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <26 MAR 2002 by elyme>; APPROVED <22 MAY 2002 by golinofr>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: NATO MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE TAGS: MPOL, US, NATO To: NATO POSTS

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005